



CIVIL COVER SHEET

14

5031

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

GEORGE BECKER

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Bucks County, PA
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)
Michael A. Siddons, The Law Firm of Michael Alan Siddons, Esquire
16 West Front Street, Media, PA 19063
Tel: 484-614-6546

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

<input type="checkbox"/> 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 U.S. Government Defendant	<input type="checkbox"/> 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

	PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF
Citizen of This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Citizen of Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	<input type="checkbox"/> 6

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	HABEAS CORPUS:	PROPERTY RIGHTS	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision
		<input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/ Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	<input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 730 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 735 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark
		HABEAS CORPUS: <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty OTHER: <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 730 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 735 Property Damage Product Liability	SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395f) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g))
			<input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609
				<input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 Original Proceeding	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 Removed from State Court	<input type="checkbox"/> 3 Remanded from Appellate Court	<input type="checkbox"/> 4 Reinstated or Reopened	<input type="checkbox"/> 5 Transferred from Another District (specify)	<input type="checkbox"/> 6 Multidistrict Litigation
---	---	--	---	--	---

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
15 U.S.C. 1692, et seq.; 47 U.S.C. 227, et seq.

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Brief description of cause:
Debt Collection Harassment

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.

DEMAND \$

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:
JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions):

JUDGE

DOCKET NUMBER

DATE

8/26/2014

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT #

AMOUNT

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

Michael A. Siddons

AUG 29 2014

MAS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

14-Q-5031

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

RBAddress of Plaintiff: George Becker, 71 Golf View Rd, Doylestown, PA 18901Address of Defendant: Northstar Location Services, LLC, 4285 Genesee Street, Cheektowaga, NY 14225Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Defendant contacted Plaintiff on his cellular phone. Plaintiff is a resident of Doylestown, PA.
(Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)

Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?

(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) Yes No

Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?

Yes No

RELATED CASE, IF ANY:

Case Number: _____ Judge _____

Date Terminated: _____

Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:

1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?

Yes No

2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?

Yes No

3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?

Yes No

4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?

Yes No CIVIL: (Place in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)A. *Federal Question Cases:*1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts2. FELA3. Jones Act-Personal Injury4. Antitrust5. Patent6. Labor-Management Relations7. Civil Rights8. Habeas Corpus9. Securities Act(s) Cases10. Social Security Review Cases11. All other Federal Question Cases(Please specify) Consumer Credit/ Debt collection HarrassmentB. *Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:*1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts2. Airplane Personal Injury3. Assault, Defamation4. Marine Personal Injury5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify)7. Products Liability8. Products Liability — Asbestos9. All other Diversity Cases

(Please specify) _____

ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION
(Check Appropriate Category)

I, _____, counsel of record do hereby certify:

 Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.

AUG 29 2014

DATE: _____

Attorney-at-Law

Attorney I.D.#

NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.

I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.

DATE: 8/26/14

Attorney-at-Law

89018

Attorney I.D.#

RB

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIACASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

George Becker

CIVIL ACTION

v.

Northstar Location Services, LLC

NO.

14 5031

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

- (a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255. ()
- (b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. ()
- (c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ()
- (d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. ()
- (e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.) ()
- (f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. (x)

Date

8/26/14

Attorney-at-law

Plaintiff, George Becker
Attorney for

Telephone

484-614-6546

FAX Number

E-Mail Address

(Civ. 660) 10/02

AUG 29 2014

\$400.00
RB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT PENNSYLVANIA

GEORGE BECKER,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Case No.
NORTHSTAR LOCATION SERVICES, LLC)
Defendant.

14 5031

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, GEORGE BECKER (“Plaintiff”), through his attorney, The Law Firm of Michael Alan Siddons, Esquire, alleges the following against Defendant, NORTHSTAR LOCATION SERVICES, LLC (“Defendant”):

INTRODUCTION

1. Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act 15 U.S.C. 1692, et seq. (“FDCPA”).
2. Count II of Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Telephone Consumer Protection Act., 47 U.S.C. 227, et seq. (“TCPA”).
3. The TCPA was designed to prevent calls and text messages like the ones described herein, and to protect the privacy of citizens like Plaintiffs. “Voluminous consumer complaints about abuses of telephone technology – for example, computerized calls dispatched to private homes – prompted Congress to pass the TCPA.” *Mims v. Arrow Fin. Servs., LLC*, 132 S. Ct. 740, 744 (2012).
4. In enacting the TCPA, Congress intended to give consumers a choice as to how corporate similar entities may contact them, and made specific findings that “[t]echnologies that might allow consumers to avoid receiving such calls are not universally available, are

costly, are unlikely to be enforced, or place an inordinate burden on the consumer. TCPA, Pub. L. No. 102–243, § 11. In support of this, Congress found that

[b]anning such automated or prerecorded telephone calls to the home, except when the receiving party consents to receiving the call or when such calls are necessary in an emergency situation affecting the health and safety of the consumer, is the only effective means of protecting telephone consumers from this nuisance and privacy invasion.

Id. at § 12; see also *Martin v. Leading Edge Recovery Solutions, LLC*, 2012 WL 3292838, at* 4 (N.D.Ill. Aug. 10, 2012) (citing Congressional findings on TCPA’s purpose).

5. Congress also specifically found that “the evidence presented to the Congress indicates that automated or prerecorded calls are a nuisance and an invasion of privacy, regardless of the type of call....” *Id.* at §§ 12-13. See also, *Mims*, 132 S. Ct. at 744.
6. As Judge Easterbrook of the Seventh Circuit recently explained in a TCPA case regarding calls to a non-debtor similar to this one:

The Telephone Consumer Protection Act ... is well known for its provisions limiting junk-fax transmissions. A less-litigated part of the Act curtails the use of automated dialers and prerecorded messages to cell phones, whose subscribers often are billed by the minute as soon as the call is answered—and routing a call to voicemail counts as answering the call. An automated call to a landline phone can be an annoyance; an automated call to a cell phone adds expense to annoyance.

Soppet v. Enhanced Recovery Co., LLC, 679 F.3d 637, 638 (7th Cir. 2012).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §1331, 1367, and 15 U.S.C. §1692k.
8. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before “any appropriate United States district court

without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. 1367 grants this court supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims contained within.

9. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's TCPA claim pursuant to *Mims v. Arrow Financial Svcs. LLC*, 132 S. Ct. 740, 2012 WL 125249 (Jan. 18, 2012).
10. Venue and personal jurisdiction in this District are proper because Defendant does or transacts business within this District, and a material portion of the events at issue occurred in this District.

PARTIES

11. Plaintiff is a natural person residing at Doylestown, Bucks County, Pennsylvania.
12. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(3).
13. Plaintiff allegedly owes a debt as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(5).
14. Defendant is a debt collector as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(6).
15. Within the last year, Defendant attempted to collect a consumer debt from Plaintiff.
16. Defendant is a collection agency located in Cheektowaga, Erie County, New York.
17. Defendant's business includes, but is not limited to, collecting on unpaid, outstanding account balances.
18. The principal purpose of Defendant's business is the collection of debts allegedly owed to third parties.
19. Defendant regularly collects, or attempts to collect, debts allegedly owed to third parties.
20. During the course of its attempts to collect debts allegedly owed to third parties, Defendant sends to alleged debtors bills, statements, and/or other correspondence, via the mail and/or electronic mail, and initiates contact with alleged debtors via various means of telecommunication, such as by telephone and facsimile.

21. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

22. Defendant is attempting to collect an alleged consumer debt from Plaintiff originating with an auto loan Plaintiff acquired in 2006 from Capital One.

23. Plaintiff's alleged debt owed arises from transactions for personal, family, and household purposes.

24. In or around January 2011, Defendant began placing collection calls to Plaintiff in an attempt to collect the debt.

25. Defendant calls Plaintiff on Plaintiff's personal cellular telephone at 215-375-65xx, Plaintiff's work cellular telephone at 267-981-48xx, and Plaintiff's home telephone.

26. Within the last year, Plaintiff answered several of Defendant's phone calls.

27. On numerous occasions, Plaintiff spoke with Defendant's collectors and repeatedly requested that Defendant stop placing collection calls to Plaintiff.

28. Despite Plaintiff's repeated request, Defendant continues to call Plaintiff in an attempt to collect the alleged debt.

29. Defendant's collectors who continued to call Plaintiff have threatened to harm Plaintiff's credit report, garnish Plaintiff's wages, and notify Plaintiff's employer.

30. Defendant's collectors have also insulted Plaintiff by calling him rude names, such as "deadbeat," and by questioning Plaintiff's relationship with his family.

31. In or around March 2013, Defendant also began calling Plaintiff's parents on their home telephone in an attempt to collect the alleged debt from Plaintiff.

32. Defendant called Plaintiff's parents despite the fact that Defendant's collectors had already been in contact with Plaintiff on numerous occasions.

33. Plaintiff's parents are not co-obligors and do not owe the alleged debt.
34. When Defendant began calling Plaintiff's parents, Plaintiff had already received collection letters from Defendant and also had spoken with Plaintiff on Plaintiff's cell phone, work phone, and home phone.
35. Defendant's collectors who spoke with Plaintiff's parents explicitly told Plaintiff's parents that Plaintiff owes the alleged debt.
36. Within the last year, Plaintiff's parents have told Defendant's collectors to stop calling.
37. Despite these requests, Defendant's collectors continued to call Plaintiff's parents regarding Plaintiff's alleged debt.
38. When Plaintiff answers Defendant's phone call to Plaintiff's cell phone, work phone, and home phone, there is dead air for a few seconds before Plaintiff is connected with a live operator on Defendant's end.
39. When Plaintiff is connected with one of Defendant's collectors, Defendant's collectors fail to identify that they are calling on behalf of Northstar Location Services, LLC.
40. Defendant's collectors usually only say that they are "calling on behalf of Capital One auto finance."
41. On several other occasions, Defendant's collectors have left Plaintiff voicemail messages.
42. In the aforementioned voicemail messages, Plaintiff's collectors fail to state that they are calling on behalf of Northstar Location Services, LLC.
43. Defendant's collectors that call Plaintiff were working within the scope of their employment when they communicated with Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
44. Defendant's collectors that call Plaintiff do not state that the call is from Northstar Location Services, LLC.
45. Defendant's collectors that call Plaintiff are familiar with the FDCPA.

46. Defendant's collectors that call Plaintiff know the FDCPA requires debt collectors to identify the company's name when placing a telephone call.
47. Defendant called Plaintiff using an autodialer system.
48. Defendant used an "automatic telephone dialing system", as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(1), to place its repeated collection calls to Plaintiff seeking to collect the debt allegedly owed.
49. Plaintiff never provided Plaintiff's cellular telephone number to Defendant and never provided Plaintiff's consent to Defendant to be contacted on Plaintiff's cellular telephone.
50. If Defendant at one time had consent to place calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number, it no longer has consent to call Plaintiff after being instructed by Plaintiff to cease all calls to him.
51. Defendant's calls constituted calls that were not for emergency purposes as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).
52. Defendant's calls were placed to telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).
53. Plaintiff is not a customer of Defendant's services, has never provided any personal information, including Plaintiff's cellular telephone number, to Defendant for any purpose whatsoever.
54. Accordingly, Defendant never received Plaintiff's "prior express consent" to receive calls using an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice on Plaintiff's cellular telephone pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 227(b)(1)(A).
55. Despite this, Defendant continued to place repeated collection calls to Plaintiff, on Plaintiff's cellular telephone, using an "automated telephone dialing system."

56. Defendant called Plaintiff at an annoying and harassing rate, sometimes calling Plaintiff on his cell phone, work phone, and home phone all within the same day.

57. The natural consequences of Defendant's statements and actions was to unjustly condemn and vilify Plaintiff for his non-payment of the debt he allegedly owes.

58. The natural consequences of Defendant's statements and actions was to produce an unpleasant and/or hostile situation between Defendant and Plaintiff.

59. The natural consequences of Defendant's statements and actions was to cause Plaintiff mental distress.

COUNT I

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

60. Defendant violated the FDCPA based on the following:

- a. Defendant violated §1692b(2) of the FDCPA by communicating with any person other than the consumer for the purpose of acquiring location information about the consumer and stated that such consumer owes any debt when Defendant's collectors called Plaintiff's parents and explicitly disclosed information regarding Plaintiff's alleged debt;
- b. Defendant violated §1692b(3) of the FDCPA by communicating with any person other than the consumer more than once unless requested to do so by such person when Defendant's collectors continued to call Plaintiff's parents despite Plaintiff's parents repeated requests that Defendant stop calling, and despite the fact that Defendant had already been in contact with Plaintiff on Plaintiff's cell phone, work phone, and home phone and via mail correspondence;

- c. Defendant violated §1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct that the natural consequences of which was to harass, oppress, and abuse Plaintiff in connection with the collection of an alleged debt;
- d. Defendant violated §1692d(2) of the FDCPA by using obscene or profane language or language the natural consequence of which is to abuse the hearer or reader when Defendant's collectors called Plaintiff a "deadbeat" and insulted his relationship with his family;
- e. Defendant violated §1692d(5) of the FDCPA by causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously, sometimes up to three times per day on Plaintiff's cell phone, work phone, home phone, and parent's home telephone, with intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number;
- f. Defendant violated §1692d(6) of the FDCPA by placing telephone calls without meaningful disclosure of the caller's identity when Defendant's collectors who spoke with Plaintiff and left Plaintiff voicemail messages failed to state they were calling on behalf of Northstar Location Services, LLC;
- g. Defendant violated §1692e of the FDCPA by using false, deceptive, and misleading representations in connection with the collection of any debt;
- h. Defendant violated §1692e(4) of the FDCPA by falsely representing or implicating that nonpayment of any debt will result in the arrest of any person or the seizure, garnishment, attachment, or sale of any property or wages of any person unless such action is lawful and the debt collector or creditor intends to take such action

when Defendant's collectors threatened to harm Plaintiff's credit report and garnish Plaintiff's wages; and

- i. Defendant violated §1692e(10) of the FDCPA by using any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer when Defendant's collectors threatened to harm Plaintiff's credit report and contact Plaintiff's employer.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, GEORGE BECKER, respectfully requests judgment be entered against Defendant, NORTHSTAR LOCATION SERVICES, LLC, for the following:

61. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692k;
62. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692k; and
63. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.

COUNT II

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

64. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges paragraphs 1-59 of Plaintiff's Complaint as the allegations in Count II of Plaintiff's Complaint.
65. Defendant's conduct violated the TCPA by:
 - a. Placing non-emergency telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone using an automatic telephone dialing system and/or pre-recorded or artificial voice in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A)(iii).

66. As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff is entitled an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).

67. As a result of Defendant's knowing and/or willful violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff is entitled an award of \$1,500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

68. Plaintiff is entitled to and seeks injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, GEORGE BECKER, respectfully requests judgment be entered against Defendant, NORTHSTAR LOCATION SERVICES, LLC, for the following:

69. As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. 227(b)(1), Plaintiff is entitled to and requests \$500 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 227(b)(3)(B).

70. As a result of Defendant's willful and/or knowing violations of 47 U.S.C. 227(b)(1), Plaintiff is entitled to and requests treble damages, as provided by statute, up to \$1,500, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. 227(b)(3)(C).

71. Plaintiff is entitled to and seeks injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

72. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

By: /s/ Michael A. Siddons
Michael A. Siddons
Attorney #89018
The Law Firm of Michael Alan Siddons, Esquire
16 West Front Street

Media, PA 19063
Tel: 484-614-6546
msiddons@siddonslaw.com
Attorney for Plaintiff